

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,056	01/02/2004	Gerianne Tringali DiPiano	FEM 104	1945	
23579 7	590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER		
PATREA L. PABST PABST PATENT GROUP LLP 400 COLONY SQUARE SUITE 1200			KIM, JENNIFER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
ATLANTA, G	SA 30361		DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/751,056	DIPIANO ET AL.	DIPIANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Kim	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sho	et with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Market SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are provided by the office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, a unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (will, by statute, cause the application to because).	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 02 <i>January 2004</i>					
· · · · ·	2b) This action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	, —	matters prosecution as to the	e merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
olosed in decordance with the practic	c ander Ex parte Quayre, 1900	7 O.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S	3.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority documents have	been received in this National	l Stage			
application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies	s not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (Information Disclosure Statement(s)) 		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to a drug formulation comprising a drug in an amount effective to provide relief from diseases or disorders of the breast in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier for topical administration to the breast, wherein the drug is not anon-steroidal anti-inflammatory or analgesic, classified in class 514, subclasses 176, 648.
- II. Claims 10-19, drawn to a method for treating a disease or disorder of the breast, chest or underlying musculature comprising topically administering to the patient a drug formulation suitable for local or regional delivery comprising an effective amount of drug to provide symptomatic relief, wherein the drug is not a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory or analgesic, in a dosage which results in low serum drug levels as compared to the systemic administration of the drug, classified in class 514, subclasses 176, 648.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Art Unit: 1617

process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product because the product as claimed can be used to treat urinary incontinence.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claims will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claims will not be rejoined. See MPEP 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 804.01.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 1617

Jmk August 21, 2006